UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,046	02/28/2006	Klaus Tank	930092-2011	6029
7590 08/20/2007 Ronald R Santucci Frommer Lawrence & Haug			EXAMINER	
			ANDREWS, DAVID L	
745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/533,046	TANK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	David Andrews	3672				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC External after If NC Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	,		•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u>		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	• •					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· ·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
8)□							
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>4/28/2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
۵/۱	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•	·				
Attachment(s)							
_	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/28/2005. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/533,046

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scott et al. (US 5,979,571). Scott et al. disclose a tool insert comprising: a substrate (22); a layer of ultra-hard abrasive material (24) having a top and side surface and a primary cutting edge; a protective layer (figures 6, 8, 10, 12) to protect the cutting edge and providing a secondary cutting edge (26) with a depth selected to be sufficient to protect the primary cutting edge whilst cutting a window through a first substance but to expose the primary cutting edge upon encountering a second substance (col. 2, lines 26-39); wherein the substrate is tungsten carbide (col. 2, lines 45-49); wherein the protective layer is integrally formed with the substrate and the same material (figures 6, 8, 10); wherein the protective layer is formed as a separate component in situ (figure 12; col. 5, lines 47-50); wherein the protective layer is formed as a separate component and bonded to the top surface or side surface of the ultra-hard material (col. 5, lines 62+); wherein the layer is provided in the form of a ring located about the periphery of the ultra-hard material (figures 5, 7, or 9 show the layer as ring about the ultra-hard material); wherein the protective layer is an overlayer bonded to the top surface of the ultra-hard material (figures 11, 12); wherein the protective layer forms a segment which

Application/Control Number: 10/533,046

Art Unit: 3672

is bonded to the substrate adjacent the layer of ultra-hard material (figure 11 shows protective layer 30 which bonded as in col. 5, lines 60+ is considered to be on the substrate adjacent the ultra-hard layer); wherein the protective layer is formed of the same type of material as the substrate but a different grade (col. 5, lines 62+ would provide a different grade of WC); wherein the first substance is casing and the second is bedrock (col. 2, lines 27-45). Scott et al. also disclose a method of drilling an angled hole comprising the steps in claims 12-14 (col. 2, lines 23+).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott et al. in view of Mattias et al. (US 2002/0074168). Scott et al. disclose all the limitations of claim 9 except for the a plurality of alternating strips of ultra-hard and protective layers. Mattias et al. disclose an insert with a plurality of ultra-hard material strips (figure 27A; 80) and protective layer strips (82) on the substrate, the successive strips providing a series of primary cutting edges and a series of secondary cutting edges (figure 27B). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the insert of Scott et al. with the strips of Mattias et al. in order to provide an insert with multiple cutting edges to cut more efficiently.

Art Unit: 3672

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Desai et al. (US 6,612,383), Chaves et al. (US 6,009,963), Miess (US 6,003,623) and Tank (US 4,997,049) all disclose inserts with some protective layer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Andrews whose telephone number is (571) 272-6558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-5pm and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000-2

David Bagnell

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3672